



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/494,945		02/01/2000	Tadahiro Ohmi	862.C1811	4149
5514	7590	07/16/2003			
		ELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
	0 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			FLORES RUIZ, DELMA R	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2828	
				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/494,945	OHMI ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Delma R. Flores Ruiz	2828					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS of the date on which the petition under 37 C extension and the corresponding amount ordened statutory period for reply originally	date of the final rejection.  OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee tof the fee. The appropriate extension fee under set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: The limitation of "equal to or greater than the half-wardength" raises no							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol	lows:	0					
Claim(s) allowed:		Paul					
Claim(s) objected to:		PAUL IP					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-31, 34-37, 39-55, 57-66, a</u>	10 109-112,	RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	 	CHNOLOGY CENTER 2800					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)  approved or b)  d	lisapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							
USC Patent and Trademark Office							
PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 16					